

MINISTERIAL POLICIES IN DEVELOPMENT PLANS (2005-2009)

PLAN AMENDMENT REPORT	POLICY DESCRIPTION AND INTENT	DEVELOPMENT PLANS AFFECTED
<p>Hills Face Zone (Interim Operation)</p> <p>Interim Operation: 27/2/04</p> <p>Approved: 24/2/05</p>	<p>This PAR proposes to amend nine Development Plans affected by the Hills Face Zone.</p> <p>Amendments include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deletion of PDC 3 relating to the realignment of allotment boundaries and the amalgamation of allotments, as a consequence of making land division non-complying in the Hills Face Zone. • Amending the non-complying list of the Hills Face Zone by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - introducing additional criteria for detached dwellings to minimise impacts on natural topography, vegetation and bushfire risk on steep slopes. - Limiting horticulture to areas already established for horticultural purposes, subject to there being no loss of native vegetation. - Limiting the excavation and filling of land. - Removing the ability to amend boundaries or amalgamate allotments. <p>In addition to the above, the following additional changes are proposed to the Playford (City) Development Plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reinstatement of the Hills Face Zone to reflect its zoning prior to the authorisation of the Playford (City) Consolidation PAR. • Establishment of a new Watershed Policy Area in the Hills Face Zone, to cover that part of the zone which falls in the Mount Lofty Ranges Watershed. • Amendment to the complying and non-complying provisions of the Hills Face Zone to take into account the introduction of the Watershed Policy Area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Burnside (City) • Campbelltown (City) • Marion (City) • Mitcham (City) • Onkaparinga (City) • Playford (City) • Salisbury (City) • Tea Tree Gully (City) • Adelaide Hills Council

MINISTERIAL POLICIES IN DEVELOPMENT PLANS (2005-2009)

PLAN AMENDMENT REPORT	POLICY DESCRIPTION AND INTENT	DEVELOPMENT PLANS AFFECTED
<p>Southern Metropolitan Growth Management PAR</p> <p>Interim Operation: 26/3/04</p> <p>Approved: 17/3/05</p>	<p>This PAR proposes to amend the Onkaparinga (City) Development Plan by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • rezoning approximately 190 hectares of land within the Residential Zone at Aldinga Beach and Sellicks Beach to Urban Zone • including an additional Principle of Development Control in the Urban Zone to reinforce the inappropriateness of land division in the zone • altering the non-complying list for the Urban Zone to include land division for that part of the Urban Zone at Aldinga, Aldinga Beach and Sellicks Beach (i.e new allotments will not be supported in that part of the Urban Zone) • altering provisions of the Residential Zone to reflect the removal of land to the Urban Zone – by removing reference to land division controls for the affected land shown on Fig R/4 and Policy Area 42 • amending the relevant maps and figures to reflect the changes described above. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Onkaparinga (City) Development Plan
<p>Osborne Maritime PAR</p> <p>Approved: 10/2/05</p>	<p>This PAR proposes to amend the Port Adelaide Enfield (City) and Land Not Within A Council Area (Metropolitan) Development Plans by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • creating two new Policy Area's, with relevant objectives and principles of development control to guide the development of naval ship building and defence related support industries. • amending the General Industry (2) Zone within the Port Adelaide Enfield (City) Development Plan by introducing: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Policy Area 45 – Osborne Maritime and associated policies to facilitate naval ship building and defence related support industries. ○ additional public notification categories to facilitate naval ship 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Port Adelaide Enfield (City) Development Plan • Land Not Within A Council Area (Metropolitan) Development Plans

MINISTERIAL POLICIES IN DEVELOPMENT PLANS (2005-2009)

PLAN AMENDMENT REPORT	POLICY DESCRIPTION AND INTENT	DEVELOPMENT PLANS AFFECTED
	<p style="text-align: center;">building and ancillary defence related support industries in relation to Policy Area 45 - Osborne Maritime.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • amending the Coastal Zone within the Land Not Within A Council Area (Metropolitan) Development Plan by introducing: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ new Policy Area 2 - Osborne Maritime and policies to facilitate naval ship building. ○ new principles of development control regarding development within the Port of Adelaide. • amending the relevant policy maps and introducing new policy area maps to reflect the changes described above. 	
<p>Charles Sturt - Bulky Goods PAR (Port Road).</p> <p>Approved: 3/2/05</p>	<p>Introduction of a new policy area (Bulky Goods Policy Area 64) within the Industry Zone.</p> <p>Introduction of Objectives and Principles of Development Control regarding minimum floor area requirements, design, location, landscaping and car parking provisions to guide bulky goods retailing development within the Policy Area</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Charles Sturt (City) Development Plan
<p>Veitch Road (Outer Harbour) PAR</p> <p>Approved: 14/7/05</p>	<p>This PAR proposes to amend the City of Port Adelaide Enfield Development Plan by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • rezoning lot 4, Veitch Road, Outer Harbor from Metropolitan Open Space System (Buffer) to Light Industry (2) • realigning the MOSS (Conservation) Zone boundary to reflect the cadastre boundary • updating the relevant maps and structure plans to reflect the changes described above. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Port Adelaide Enfield (City) Development Plan

MINISTERIAL POLICIES IN DEVELOPMENT PLANS (2005-2009)

PLAN AMENDMENT REPORT	POLICY DESCRIPTION AND INTENT	DEVELOPMENT PLANS AFFECTED
<p>Northfield (Stage 3) PAR</p> <p>Approved: 8/9/05</p>	<p>This PAR proposes to amend the Port Adelaide Enfield Development (City) Development Plan by amending the new Residential Zone provisions and introducing a new Policy Area over the Northfield Stage 3 development site.</p> <p>Specific changes to the Residential Zone include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> → removal of expectations that proponents in Northfield Stage 3 will be required to demonstrate that all allotments less than 300m² can meet the relevant provision of the Development Plan (This would be consistent with (a) the removal of minimum allotment sizes in the new Northfield Policy Area 46 (b) the retention of land division requirements for allotments less than 300m² at the Policy Area level, and (c) the need for the subsequent development of any new allotment to satisfy the requirements of the Development Plan); → smaller primary street frontages to facilitate the creation of small allotments while ensuring suitable streetscape outcomes can be achieved; → amending provisions relating to development on a 'secondary' street frontage to allow alternative design solutions to be considered for dual frontage allotments where the secondary frontage is a rear service or access lane as opposed to a side boundary for a corner allotment; → introducing additional considerations for the positioning of dwellings on side boundaries; → eliminating the need for a rear setback for two storey components of dwellings in Northfield Stage 3 where the rear boundary adjoins a service or access lane (consistent with the setback requirements for single storey components of dwellings); → removing the current limitations for the number of persons able to be accommodated in a residential facility as defined by the Supported Residential Facilities Act 1992 in respect to Northfield Stage 3 to better support accommodation for aged 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Port Adelaide Enfield (City) Development Plan

MINISTERIAL POLICIES IN DEVELOPMENT PLANS (2005-2009)

PLAN AMENDMENT REPORT	POLICY DESCRIPTION AND INTENT	DEVELOPMENT PLANS AFFECTED
	<p>persons at this location;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> → providing an exception in the non-complying list to allow consideration for a shop or group of shops up to 250m² in Northfield Stage 3 only (consistent with metropolitan centres policy); and → amending public notification categories to identify most dwelling forms in Northfield Stage 3 as Category 1, with Category 2 applying where the development adjoins an allotment used for residential purposes outside of the Northfield Policy Area 46 and is greater than two storeys in height. <p>The PAR also introduces a new Northfield Policy Area 46 which comprises:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> → approximately 93 hectares of land within the Residential Zone at Northfield; → Objectives and Principles of Development Control to control and guide development, supportive of housing diversity on a full range of allotment sizes; → a concept plan illustrating key site layout features such as major access points and public open space. <p>Consequential changes to existing Council wide structure plan and Policy Area maps are also proposed to take into account the amendments mentioned above.</p>	
<p>Gawler Urban Boundary PAR</p> <p>Interim Operation: 17/11/05</p> <p>Approved: 26/10/06</p>	<p>The PAR proposes to amend the Gawler (CT) Development Plan by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Replacing the Rural A Zone within the current Urban Boundary with a Deferred Urban Zone; • Replacing the Rural A Zone over that land located to the north of Tiver Road and east of Trinity College with a Deferred Urban Zone, and 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gawler (CT) Development Plan

MINISTERIAL POLICIES IN DEVELOPMENT PLANS (2005-2009)

PLAN AMENDMENT REPORT	POLICY DESCRIPTION AND INTENT	DEVELOPMENT PLANS AFFECTED
	<p>incorporating this land within a revised Urban Boundary for Gawler;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Replacing the Rural Living Zone over that land located at Evanston Park west of Gawler One Tree Hill Road with a Deferred Urban Zone, and incorporating this land within a revised Urban Boundary for Gawler; and • Revising the non-complying list to incorporate land division creating allotments less than four hectares in area. 	
<p>Mount Lofty Ranges Watershed Wineries and Ancillary Development PAR</p> <p>Note Section 27(5) Amendment.</p> <p>Approved: 8/06/06</p> <p>Section 27(5) Amendment: 25/01/07</p>	<p>The policy changes in this PAR are designed to guide the development of wineries, cellar door sales and restaurants in the Watershed Area of the nine affected Councils.</p> <p>Where relevant, a new Watershed Area 1 has been established to identify the most sensitive area of the Watershed, reflecting the boundaries of the Priority Area 1 of the <i>Planning Strategy for the Outer Metropolitan Adelaide</i>.</p> <p>A range of policy amendments have been undertaken to address the aims of the PAR, including general amendments to Objectives and Principles of Development Control together with changes to relevant non-complying lists and categories of notification.</p> <p>For the Barossa Council, only that part of the Watershed which falls outside of the Barossa Geographical Indication Zone is proposed to have opportunities for new wineries, cellar door sales outlets and restaurants.</p> <p>In addition, the PAR does not address other areas of the Watershed such as townships or the Hills Face Zone.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adelaide Hills Council • Alexandrina Council • The Barossa Council • Mount Barker (DC) • Onkaparinga (City) • Playford (City) • Tea Tree Gully (City) • Victor Harbor (DC) • Yankalilla (DC)

MINISTERIAL POLICIES IN DEVELOPMENT PLANS (2005-2009)

PLAN AMENDMENT REPORT	POLICY DESCRIPTION AND INTENT	DEVELOPMENT PLANS AFFECTED
<p>Southern Metropolitan Growth Management – Stage II PAR</p> <p>Approved: 24/8/06</p>	<p>This PAR proposes to amend the Onkaparinga (City) Development Plan by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incorporating a residential sequencing plan into the Urban Zone that describes an appropriate order of land development. • Amending the commentary within the Urban Zone to clearly articulate the Government’s intention to rezone land to residential, in order of the ‘development sequence’, once suitable infrastructure is in place or planned to be provided. • Rezoning allotment 51 deposited plan 56987 (land adjoining the Aldinga District Centre) from Urban to Residential. • Amending Map Onka/1 (Overlay 1) ENLARGEMENT O and J to identify appropriate locations for education facilities, emergency services and stormwater facilities. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Onkaparinga (City) Development Plan
<p>North Brighton Coastal PAR</p> <p>Interim Operation: 9/02/06</p> <p>Approved: 26/10/06</p>	<p>The Plan Amendment Report proposes to amend the Holdfast Bay (City) Development Plan by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Realigning the Coastal (Conservation) Zone boundary to incorporate land currently zoned Residential (Institution) and a small portion zoned Residential (Coastal) to preserve land for the future development of Coast Park. • Incorporating a small portion of land currently zoned Residential (Coastal) into the Residential A Zone to avoid the subsequent creation of an anomalous and isolated Residential (Coastal) Zone. • Revising existing objectives and principles of development control within the Coastal (Conservation) Zone and inserting two new principles that provide for the future development of Coast Park. • Inserting a non-complying provision into the Coastal (Conservation) Zone that limits land division except for the purposes of accommodating a linear park. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Holdfast Bay (City) Development Plan

MINISTERIAL POLICIES IN DEVELOPMENT PLANS (2005-2009)

PLAN AMENDMENT REPORT	POLICY DESCRIPTION AND INTENT	DEVELOPMENT PLANS AFFECTED
<p>Wattle Range – Primary Industry 2 Zone PAR</p> <p>Interim Operation: 18/05/06</p> <p>Approved: 22/03/07</p>	<p>This Plan Amendment Report proposes to amend the Wattle Range Council Development Plan by rezoning a specific area of land, located south of Penola from the Primary Industry 2 Zone to a Primary Industry 3 Zone. The Primary Industry 3 Zone allows for industrial development of a scale greater than 500m² and a built form greater than single storey.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wattle Range Council Development Plan
<p>Bushfire Management (Part 1) – (South East, Kangaroo Island, Yorke Peninsula, and Eyre Peninsula) PAR.</p> <p>Approved: 9/11/06</p>	<p>This PAR proposes to amend the fourteen affected Development Plans by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Replacing existing bushfire policies with new Objectives and PDCs under a new heading of “Bushfire Protection”. • Inserting a new council-wide PDC under the heading land division to ensure that the layout of development within areas identified as being excluded from the bushfire protection planning provisions has regard to the need for safe access and egress for emergency services and residents. • Inserting new Bushfire Protection Area figures within the relevant Development Plans. • Removing residential and other habitable development from the complying lists within zones that are subject to High, Medium and General Risk, with the exception of areas which are excluded from the bushfire protection planning provisions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grant (DC) • Kingston (DC) • Mount Gambier (City) • Naracoorte Lucindale (DC) • Robe (DC) • Tatiara (DC) • Wattle Range Council • Kangaroo Island Council • Yorke Peninsula (DC) • Elliston (DC) • Lower Eyre Peninsula (DC) • Port Lincoln (City) • Streaky Bay (DC) • Tumby Bay (DC)

MINISTERIAL POLICIES IN DEVELOPMENT PLANS (2005-2009)

PLAN AMENDMENT REPORT	POLICY DESCRIPTION AND INTENT	DEVELOPMENT PLANS AFFECTED
<p>Bushfire Management (Part 2) – (Mid North, Riverland, Northern Metropolitan/Outer Metropolitan and Murray Bridge.</p> <p>Approved: 14/12/06</p>	<p>This PAR proposes to amend the twelve affected Development Plans by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Replacing existing bushfire policies with new Objectives and PDCs under a new heading of “Bushfire Protection”. • Inserting a new council-wide PDC under the heading land division to ensure that the layout of development within areas identified as being excluded from the bushfire protection planning provisions has regard to the need for safe access and egress for emergency services and residents. • Inserting new Bushfire Protection Area figures within the relevant Development Plans. • Removing residential and other habitable development from the complying lists within zones that are subject to High, Medium and General Risk, with the exception of areas which are excluded from the bushfire protection planning provisions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clare and Gilbert Valleys Council • Mt Remarkable (DC) • Northern Areas Council • Port Pirie Regional Council • Wakefield Regional Council • Berri Barmera Council • Renmark Paringa (DC) • Gawler (CT) • Salisbury (City) • Light Regional Council • Mallala (DC) • Murray Bridge (RC)
<p>Charles Sturt Sheridan Site PAR</p> <p>Approved: 25/01/07</p>	<p>The Plan Amendment Report proposes to amend the Charles Sturt (City) Development Plan by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rezoning the former Sheridan Site at the corner of Torrens Road and Actil Avenue from Industry to the Residential Zone. • The creation of a new Policy Area to specifically address the development of the affected area. • The creation of a concept plan to guide the development of the affected area in conjunction with the Policy Area Objectives and Principles of Development Control. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Charles Sturt (City)

MINISTERIAL POLICIES IN DEVELOPMENT PLANS (2005-2009)

PLAN AMENDMENT REPORT	POLICY DESCRIPTION AND INTENT	DEVELOPMENT PLANS AFFECTED
<p>Port Adelaide Enfield (City) and Salisbury (City) – Industrial Land Review (Gepps Cross and Pooraka) PAR</p> <p>Approved: 19/04/07</p>	<p>The Plan Amendment Report proposes to amend the Port Adelaide Enfield (City) and Salisbury (City) Development Plans as follows:</p> <p>Port Adelaide Enfield (City)</p> <p>In the Industry (Gepps Cross Gateway) Zone:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Changing the title of the Zone to “Industry/Business (Gepps Cross Gateway) Zone” to reflect expectations for increased business opportunities; - Changing the “Background” and “Desired Uses and Future Character” statements to support a wider range of commercial/business activities (including bulky goods retail) in conjunction with industrial, warehousing and transport uses already planned for the area; - Amending the zone provisions to reflect changes made to the “Background” and “Desire Uses and Future Character” statements, including expanded opportunities for bulky goods retail type development and support buildings with large floor plates to provide flexibility of use and reuse; - Removing anomalous policies pertaining to State Heritage Places, given the removal of the Administration Building from the State Heritage Register; - Removing the 30 metre setback requirement for arterial roads to encourage flexible ‘gateway’ and streetscape solutions - Removing service trade premises from the non-complying development list; - Changing the status of bulky goods type development from non-complying to allow for on-merit assessment of future development proposals; - Recognising the bulky goods type development as Category 1 development for the purposes of public notification; - Delete Figure In(GCG)/1 in light of the changes to the Desire Uses 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Port Adelaide (City) Development Plan • Salisbury (City) Development Plan

MINISTERIAL POLICIES IN DEVELOPMENT PLANS (2005-2009)

PLAN AMENDMENT REPORT	POLICY DESCRIPTION AND INTENT	DEVELOPMENT PLANS AFFECTED
	<p style="text-align: center;">and Future Character statement.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amend Development Plan maps to reflect the change in Zone name mentioned above. <p>Salisbury (City)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the Industry Zone (Pooraka Industrial Area): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Refining the Desired Uses and Future Character statement for the “Pooraka Industrial Area” to anticipate commercial activities (i.e. service trade premises); - Introducing principles of development control that reflect changes to the “Desired Uses and Future Character” statement, and support buildings with large floor plates that provide flexibility of use and reuse; - Removing anomalous policies pertaining to Local and State Heritage Places, given the removal of the Administration Building from the State Heritage Register on land in the adjoining Council, and to acknowledge that there are no Local Heritage Places in the Pooraka Industrial Area - Remove the 30 metre and 25 metre setback requirements for Main North Road and Diagonal Road respectively to encourage flexible ‘gateway’ and streetscape solutions - Removing service trade premises from the non-complying development list, (consistent with the rest of the Industry Zone); - Deleting Figure In/3 relating to the “Pooraka Industrial Area” in light of the changes to the Desire Uses and Future Character statement regarding access between industrial areas. 	

MINISTERIAL POLICIES IN DEVELOPMENT PLANS (2005-2009)

PLAN AMENDMENT REPORT	POLICY DESCRIPTION AND INTENT	DEVELOPMENT PLANS AFFECTED
<p>Charles Sturt Royal Park Bulky Goods PAR</p> <p>Approved: 19/04/07</p>	<p>The Plan Amendment Report proposes to amend the Charles Sturt (City) Development Plan by removing portion of the Industry Zone (Interface Policy Area 57) at Royal Park (adjacent to Old Port Road) and replacing it with the Industry Zone (Bulky Goods Policy Area 64).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Charles Sturt (City)
<p>Port Adelaide Enfield (City) – Light Industry (2) Commercial/Education Precinct PAR</p> <p>Approved: 5/04/07</p>	<p>The Plan Amendment Report proposes to expand the zoning of a piece of light industrial land at Osborne to accommodate the siting of an education and commercial precinct in conjunction with the Air Warfare Destroyer ship building project. It is proposed to amend the Light Industry (2) Zone within the Port Adelaide Enfield (City) Development Plan by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Creating a new Policy Area 51 within the Zone, with relevant objectives and principles of development control to guide the development of the Commercial/Education Precinct • Removing 'educational establishment' from PDC 6 (non-complying list) as it relates to Policy Area 51 • Increasing the amount of gross leasable area for 'shop or group of shops' in Policy Area 51 from 250m² to 600m² in PDC 6 (non-complying list) • Amending PDC 7 (public notification categories) to include office(s), skills training centre, shop or group of shops up to 600m² of gross leasable area as a Category 1 form of development. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Port Adelaide Enfield (City)
<p>Roxby Downs Town Centre PAR</p> <p>Interim Operation: 19/04/07</p> <p>Approved: 1/11/07</p>	<p>This PAR proposes to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delete the whole of the Community Zone and include that portion of the zone fronting Burgoyne Street and generally south of Stuart Road into the Special Use Zone, and the remainder into the new Town Centre Zone • Delete the whole of the District Business Zone and replace with a new Town Centre Zone • Divide the Town Centre Zone into a number of policy areas • Include a new Desired Character Statement, Objectives and 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Roxby Downs (Municipality)

MINISTERIAL POLICIES IN DEVELOPMENT PLANS (2005-2009)

PLAN AMENDMENT REPORT	POLICY DESCRIPTION AND INTENT	DEVELOPMENT PLANS AFFECTED
	<p>Principles of Development Control for the new Town Centre Zone, along with detailed Objectives and Principles of Development Control for the suggested policy areas</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include land fronting Burgoyne Street currently in the Residential Zone in the new Town Centre Zone. 	
<p>Techport Boundary Review PAR</p> <p>Interim Operation: 28/06/07</p> <p>Approved: 25/10/07</p>	<p>The PAR proposes to make the following amendments within the Port Adelaide Enfield (City) Development Plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rezoning a portion of the Industry (Port) Zone, located between Mersey Road and the rail corridor, to General Industry (2) Zone, Policy Area 49 • Expanding Policy Area 49, within the General Industry (2) Zone, to the south (as far as the southern boundary of Lot 701 in Development Application 040/G002/07) to include land between Mersey Road and the Council boundary • Amending Principle of Development Control 13 in the Industry (Port) Zone to reduce the existing 100 metre open space linkage to 50 metres in this zone. (A further 50 metre corridor has already been provided directly adjacent (in the General Industry Zone, Policy Area 49) through a recently approved land division) • Introducing a new Principle of Development Control (PDC) in Policy Area 49 to ensure the open space linkage of 50 m (identified in the approved land division) is retained. <p>The PAR proposes to make the following amendment to the Land Not Within A Council Area (Metropolitan) Development Plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expanding Policy Area 2, located in the Port Adelaide River, to the south to line up with Veitch Road. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Port Adelaide Enfield (City) • Land Not Within A Council Area (Metropolitan).

MINISTERIAL POLICIES IN DEVELOPMENT PLANS (2005-2009)

PLAN AMENDMENT REPORT	POLICY DESCRIPTION AND INTENT	DEVELOPMENT PLANS AFFECTED
<p>Land Not Within a Council Area Consolidation and Better Development Plan Conversion PAR (Part 1)</p> <p>Interim Operation: 14/08/07</p> <p>Approved: 3/07/08</p>	<p>This PAR proposes the conversion of the four affected Development Plans into a consolidated Better Development Plan (BDP). The conversion process includes some level of policy up-date as it introduces base level, current best practice policy. In addition, this PAR deals with two specific issues namely:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • waste water disposal (particularly in Andamooka); and • coastal policy. <p>Coastal land at Fowlers Bay has been excised from the PAR and will be dealt with in Land Not Within a Council Area Consolidation and Better Development Plan Conversion PAR (Part 2).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Land Not Within A Council Area (Eyre) • Land Not Within A Council Area (Far North) • Land Not Within A Council Area (Riverland) • Land Not Within A Council Area (Whyalla)
<p>Land Not Within a Council Area Consolidation and Better Development Plan Conversion PAR (Part 2)</p> <p>Interim Operation: 14/08/07</p> <p>Approved: 13/08/08</p>	<p>Same as Land Not Within a Council Area Consolidation and Better Development Plan Conversion PAR (Part 1) but including coastal land at Fowlers Bay.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Land Not Within A Council Area (Eyre)
<p>Bushfire Management (Part 3).</p> <p>Approved: 29/11/07</p>	<p>This PAR proposes to amend the thirteen affected Development Plans by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Replacing existing bushfire policies with new Objectives and PDCs under a new heading of "Bushfire Protection". • Inserting a new council-wide PDC under the heading land division to ensure that the layout of development within areas identified as being excluded from the bushfire protection planning provisions has regard to the need for safe access and egress for emergency services and residents. • Replacing existing Bushfire Prone Area figures with new Bushfire Protection Area figures. • Removing residential and other habitable development from the complying lists within zones that are subject to High, Medium and General Risk, with the exception of areas which are excluded from the bushfire protection planning provisions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adelaide Hills Council • Alexandrina Council • The Barossa Council • Burnside (City) • Campbelltown (City) • Mid Murray Council • Mitcham (City) • Mount Barker (DC) • Onkaparinga (City) • Playford (City) • Tea Tree Gully (City) • Victor Harbor (DC) • Yankalilla (DC)

MINISTERIAL POLICIES IN DEVELOPMENT PLANS (2005-2009)

*Change of name from PAR to DPA

DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT	POLICY DESCRIPTION AND INTENT	DEVELOPMENT PLANS AFFECTED
Residential Parks and Caravan and Tourist Parks DPA Interim Operation: 13/12/07 Approved: 11/12/08 Section 27(5) Amendment: 29/10/09	<p>The DPA involved the rezoning of a number of metropolitan and outer metropolitan caravan parks, tourist parks and residential parks into either a Residential Park Zone or Caravan and Tourist Park Zone. The new zoning seeks to protect the parks from redevelopment outside of their current use.</p> <p>New policies have been inserted to guide the future development of caravan/tourist/residential parks, including ensuring that they are located to provide appropriate access to public services and facilities and that they provide for a safe environment.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adelaide Hills Council • Alexandria Council • The Barossa Council • Gawler (CT) • Holdfast Bay (City) • Light Regional Council • Marion (City) • Mallala (DC) • Mount Barker (DC) • Norwood Payneham & St Peters (City) • Onkaparinga (City) • Playford (City) • Port Adelaide Enfield (City) • Salisbury (City) • Victor Harbor (DC) • Walkerville (CT) • Yankalilla (DC)
Greater Mount Gambier Deferred Urban DPA Interim Operation: 28/2/08 Approved: 16/10/08	<p>This DPA introduced a Deferred Urban (Northern Gateway) Zone over land identified as the Northern Gateway Precinct (Figure 1) within the Greater Mount Gambier Master Plan.</p> <p>The introduction of a Deferred Urban (Northern Gateway) Zone acts as a holding measure to prevent development on the subject land that may be</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mount Gambier (City) • Grant (DC)

MINISTERIAL POLICIES IN DEVELOPMENT PLANS (2005-2009)

DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT	POLICY DESCRIPTION AND INTENT	DEVELOPMENT PLANS AFFECTED
	contrary to its future use, as described in the Master Plan.	
<p>Cheltenham Park Racecourse DPA</p> <p>Approved: 14/8/08</p>	<p>The main changes proposed in this DPA include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rezoning the Cheltenham Park Racecourse site from the Special Uses Zone and including it within the Residential Zone. • Allowing for a limited amount of retail floorspace on the site when associated with a transport orientated and mixed use scheme. . • Creating a new Cheltenham Park Policy Area which: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - provided for some 17.2 hectares of public open space. - support the inclusion of wetlands and stormwater management initiatives. - Facilitates the creation of transit oriented development. - provides for a range of housing types, including affordable housing - addresses the interface with adjoining industrial land, the railway and high volume roads. • The creation of a concept plan for the site. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Charles Sturt (City)
<p>Penfield Intermodal Rail Freight Facility DPA</p> <p>Approved: 7/8/08</p>	<p>The DPA involves the rezoning of Horticulture land at Penfield to a new Intermodal Zone. The zone has been established to accommodate Intermodal Rail Freight Terminal operations and includes policies aimed at avoiding encroachment pressure from other related urban uses. The Intermodal Zone also includes a range of policies to address site specific issues including stormwater management, vegetation and site landscaping, and ensuring that the long term operation and safety requirements of the nearby RAAF base are met.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Playford (City)
<p>Golden Grove Residential and Light Industry (Lot 53, Aristotle Close, Golden Grove) – Minor Amendments DPA</p> <p>Approved: 4/12/08</p>	<p>This DPA reviews the zoning of land at Lot 53 Aristotle Close, Golden Grove to allow for residential development, stormwater management and some light industry. Provisions for residential development include opportunities for affordable housing.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tea Tree Gully (City)

MINISTERIAL POLICIES IN DEVELOPMENT PLANS (2005-2009)

DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT	POLICY DESCRIPTION AND INTENT	DEVELOPMENT PLANS AFFECTED
<p>Royal Adelaide Hospital DPA (formerly Marjorie Jackson-Nelson Hospital DPA)</p> <p>Approved: 14/5/09</p> <p>Section 27(5) Amendment: 23/12/09</p>	<p>The DPA relates to the site of the proposed new Royal Adelaide Hospital, west of the Adelaide Railway Station.</p> <p>The DPA proposes to introduce an Institutional (Metropolitan Hospital) Zone with Objectives, a Desired Character statement and Principles of Development Control that envisage and guide the development of a hospital and allied uses.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adelaide (City)
<p>Northern Lefevre Peninsula Industry and Open Space DPA</p> <p>Approved: 11/6/09</p> <p>Section 27(5) Amendment: 5/11/09</p>	<p>The DPA relates to land at the northern end of the Lefevre Peninsula in the suburbs of Largs North, Taperoo, Osborne and Outer Harbor.</p> <p>The purpose of the DPA is to provide a framework for the appropriate development of the area to support defence and port related activities, whilst recognising open space and buffer areas, in a manner which is consistent with the objectives of the Northern Lefevre Peninsula Masterplan.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Land Not Within a Council Area (Metropolitan) • Port Adelaide Enfield (City)
<p>Glenside Campus DPA</p> <p>Approved: 6/8/09</p>	<p>The DPA proposes to amend the relevant planning policies to guide a comprehensive redevelopment of the Glenside Campus. These changes are intended to enable new, purpose-built, adaptable, state-of-the-art and integrated health facilities complemented by, and integrated with, a mix of land uses and areas of open space.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Burnside (City)
<p>Boston Island DPA</p> <p>Approved: 26/11/09</p>	<p>The DPA proposes to create a new Boston Island Zone with five new policy areas that guide a range of activities within designated areas on Boston Island including residential uses, tourism development, mixed use, coastal open space and recreation.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Land Not Within a Council Area (Coastal Waters)